IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 546 OF 2005

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 358 OF 2004

SHRI DAMU MANGADKAR,CORLIM-GOA. ....Applicants

Versus

THE MAPUSA URBAN COOPERATIVE BANK LTD., AND 2 ORS. ....Respondents

Mr. R. G. Ramani, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. J. P. Mulgaonkar, Advocate for the respondent No.1.

Mr. S. S. Kantak, Advocate General with Mr. M. S. Joshi, Government Advocate for the respondents No.4, 5 and 6.

Coram:- R. M. LODHA, J.

Date:- 16th September, 2005

P.C.

At the risk of the applicant, the name of the respondent No.2 is ordered to be deleted. Ultimately, if it is found that the respondent No.2 was a necessary party, the consequence of dismissal of the writ petition may follow.

With the aforesaid observation, the Misc. Civil Application is granted and the name of the respondent No.2 is ordered to be deleted from the array of parties. Necessary amendment in the cause title be carried out within two weeks from today.

R. M. LODHA, J.